

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

## ORDER

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge David D. Noce (Doc. [151]), filed August 29, 2022, be and hereby is **SUSTAINED, ADOPTED, and INCORPORATED** herein.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Oral Motion to Suppress Evidence (Doc. [39]) is **DENIED** without prejudice to being refiled at a time that Defendant is determined by the Court to be competent or such motion is filed on his behalf by appointed defense counsel.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Government's Oral Motion for a Determination by the Court of Admissibility of Arguably Suppressible Evidence (Doc. [40]) is **DENIED** without prejudice to being refiled at a time that Defendant is determined by the Court to be competent.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's pro se Motion for Judgment of Acquittal (Doc. [132]) is **DENIED** without prejudice to being refiled at a time that Defendant is determined by the Court to be competent or such motion is filed on his behalf by appointed defense counsel.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's pro se Motion to Suppress Evidence (Doc. [148]) is **DENIED** without prejudice to being refiled at a time that Defendant is determined by the Court to be competent or such motion is filed on his behalf by appointed defense counsel.

**IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that Defendant's pro se Motion for A Speedy Trial (Doc. [149]) is **DENIED** without prejudice to being refiled at a time that Defendant is determined by the Court to be competent or such motion is filed on his behalf by appointed defense counsel.

Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022.



---

SARAH E. PITLYK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE